(9) (1)

Filed

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2529 /2003

PETITIONER: Manmohan Singh S/o Late Shri G.S. Gill aged 44 years, Occupation-Ex. Helper M.P.S.R.T.C R/o, Siddharth Enclave. Nerala Shankari **B H O P A L** (M.P)

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS

(1) State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of Infrastructure Development. Corporation Near D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur. (C.G.)

P. R. No. 2353/03 Presented by Shri Prakash Tiwari dated 11.08.03

(2) Managing Director M.P.S.R.T.C. Habibganj Bhopal.(M.P.)

(3) Depot Manager M.P.S.R.T.C (C.I.D.C.) Ambikapur. (C.G.)

(4) Managing Director Departmentof Infrastructure Developmen.Corporation Near D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur. (C.G.)

WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 311, 14 AND ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.

(26)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 2527 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	1-9-2003 Shri Prakash Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. By this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks to challenge his dismissal order passed on 26-8-2002. The petitioner was working as helper in District Ambikapur in the respondent-Madhya Pradesh State Road Transportation Corporation. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the dismissal order, the petitioner moved an appeal before the respondent No. 2 in November 2002 and the respondent No. 2 dismissed the appeal ~~[illegible]~~er with direction that the petitioner may move an appeal before the respondent No. 4 and upon which the petitioner has already moved an appeal on 22-5-2003 and his appeal is not being decided. Again the petitioner moved another appeal on 24-7-2003 as reminder but the respondent No. 4 has not decided the same. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, it is expected that the respondent No. 4 should decide the appeal within a period of two months from today. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No. 7654/2003 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for the certified copy of the order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Pathak

[पीछे देखिये